

1973

Application of Thin Layer Chromatography to the Identification of Charred Marijuana

C. R. Kempe

W. K. Tannert

A. Sterngast

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/jclc>

 Part of the [Criminal Law Commons](#), [Criminology Commons](#), and the [Criminology and Criminal Justice Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

C. R. Kempe, W. K. Tannert, A. Sterngast, Application of Thin Layer Chromatography to the Identification of Charred Marijuana, 63 J. Crim. L. Criminology & Police Sci. 593 (1972)

This Criminology is brought to you for free and open access by Northwestern University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology by an authorized editor of Northwestern University School of Law Scholarly Commons.

APPLICATION OF THIN LAYER CHROMATOGRAPHY TO THE IDENTIFICATION OF CHARRED MARIJUANA

C. R. KEMPE, W. K. TANNERT AND A. STERNGAST

Captain Carl R. Kempe is Director of the City-County Crime Laboratory, Tucson, Arizona, and a graduate of the University of Minnesota. In addition to establishing the Tucson Laboratory he also established the Crime Laboratory at Grand Rapids, Michigan. He is a Fellow in the American Academy of Forensic Sciences and a member of the National Safety Council, Committee of Alcohol and Drugs.

Walter K. Tannert is a Criminalist in the City-County Crime Laboratory, Tucson. Mr. Tannert is a graduate of the University of Arizona, a registered pharmacist, and a member of the Forensic Science Society.

Anna Sterngast is a Criminalist in the City-County Crime Laboratory, Tucson, a graduate of the University of Arizona, and a registered medical technologist.

On occasion our laboratory is called upon to examine burnt or charred debris from pipe bowls, hookahs, or "roaches," to determine for police intelligence purposes if marijuana had been used. There is no question concerning the distinctive microscopy of marijuana,¹ however, the laboratory usually receives meager quantities of charred material which have no discernible microscopic characteristics.

The usual chemical test (Duquenois-Levine) fails to give a clear indication since the charred material will usually obscure the reaction and give inconclusive results. Consequently, this color test can be of relatively little value. Therefore, research was done on the application of T.L.C. as a means of identification of charred cannabis.

THIN LAYER CHROMATOGRAPHY PROCEDURE

Numerous thin layer chromatographic methods are reported in the literature.² The procedure of DeFaubert Maunder³ was used because of its simplicity and many other advantages, such as, a mono solvent system, commercially prepared chromatogram sheets, and fine separation.

¹ Betts, T. J. and Holloway, P. F., *Chromatographic Identification of Cannabis*, 19 J. PHARM. PHARMAC., 975 (1967).

² Karte, F., Sieper, H., and S. Tira, *New Results on Hashish—Specific Constituents*, 27 BULL. ON NARCOTICS 35-43 (1965); Aramaki, Tomiyasu, Yoshimura, and Tsukamoto, *Forensic Chemical Study on Marijuana*, 16 CHEM. PHARM. BULL. 822-826 (1968).

³ DeFaubert Maunder, *Simple Chromatography of Cannabis Constituents*, 21 J. PHARM. PHARMAC. 334 (1969).

Eastman chromogram sheets, silica gel (6061), were used without activation. The mobile solvent used in all chromatograms was toluene; however, benzene or xylene could probably be used with similar results. Visualization of spots was accomplished by using a .15% aqueous-methanol (1 to 3) Fast Blue B spray. Known tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) cannabidiol (CBD) and cannabinol (CBN)⁴ were chromatographed with control hashish. The descending order of the separated components was CBD (orange), THC (scarlet), and CBN (violet) (Figure 1). Samples were collected and consisted of:

1. Residue from pipe (36 mgm)
2. Residue from pipe (14 mgm)
3. Residue from hookah or water pipe (15 mgm)
4. Cinders from "roach" (14 mgm)
5. Paper from suspected "roach" (20 mgm)
6. Rosemary fragments (control) (15 mgm)
7. Specimen of charred tobacco (15 mgm).

All the residue samples were charred and failed to show any marijuana characteristics. The samples were extracted with .3 ml or approximately 6 drops of petroleum ether for a few minutes. The chromatogram sheets were cut into 3 cm wide strips and spotted with approximately 3 ul of the extract. The strips were then placed between glass plates, and the solvent was allowed to rise about 17 cm. Running time was 105 minutes at room temperature (22°C). The chromatograms were allowed to dry and then sprayed with Fast Blue B.

⁴ We thank J. Gunn, BNDD, for supply of known controls, THC, CBD, CBN.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following table shows the cannabinoids that were identified in the various samples.

Sample	CBD Rf .64	THC Rf .59	CBN Rf .49
1. Residue	-	++	++
2. Residue	-	+	+
3. Residue	+	++	+
4. Cinders	-	-	Trace
5. Paper	-	+++	++
6. Rosemary	-	-	-
7. Tobacco Residue	-	-	-

(Number of pluses indicate relative color intensity.)

It was noted that several additional spots appeared on some chromatograms. The more predominate ones appeared below CBN. The Rf values were .19 and .40.

It has been reported in the literature⁵ that CBD is not cyclized to THC during smoking. Our results indicate the general lack of CBD in charred residue; however, THC and CBN are usually present. The question now arises as to why THC and CBN remain while CBD is absent in the smoked or charred marijuana. Even in the "roach" paper, CBD was absent while the THC spot was the most intense of all the samples. Further pursuance in this area may prove of interest.

⁵ Farnsworth, N., *Pharmacognosy and Chemistry of Cannabis Sativa*, NS8 J. AMER. PHARM. ASS. 412, (Aug. 1969).




FIGURE 1.

SUMMARY

The simple chromatography system used by DeFaubert Maunder for effective resolution of marijuana or hashish has been proven to be accurate and sensitive for effective determination of charred cannabis constituents. This procedure can also be used to identify "roach" paper. Sensitivity, plus quickness of running time, along with accuracy, makes the procedure an invaluable tool for police intelligence purposes.

Statement of Ownership, Management and Circulation required by the Act of October 23, 1962; Section 4369, Title 39, United States Code.

1. Date of Filing: October 1, 1972.
2. Title of Publication: Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology & Police Science.
3. Frequency of Issue: Quarterly.
4. Location of known Office of Publication: 428 E. Preston St., Baltimore, Md. 21202.
5. Location of the Headquarters or General Business Offices of Publisher: 428 E. Preston St., Baltimore, Md. 21202.
6. Publisher: The Williams & Wilkins Company, 428 E. Preston St., Baltimore, Md. 21202.
Editor: Philip Cutler, Northwestern University, Chicago, Ill. 60611.
7. Owners: (If owned by a corporation, its name and address must be stated and also immediately thereunder the names and addresses of stockholders owning or holding 1 percent or more of total amount of stock. If not owned by a corporation, the names and addresses of the individual owners must be given. If owned by a partnership or other unincorporated firm, its name and address, as well as that of each individual, must be given.) Northwestern University School of Law, 357 E. Chicago Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60611. No stockholders.
8. Known bondholders, mortgagees and other security holders owning or holding 1 percent or more of total amount of bonds, mortgages or other securities are: None.
9. Paragraphs 7 and 8 include, in cases where the stockholder or security holder appears upon the books of the company as trustee or in any other fiduciary relation, the name of the person or corporation for whom such trustee is acting, also the state-

ments in the two paragraphs show the affiant's full knowledge and belief as to the circumstances and conditions under which stockholders and security holders who do not appear upon the books of the company as trustees, hold stock and securities in a capacity other than that of a bona fide owner. Names and addresses of individuals who are stockholders of a corporation which itself is a stockholder or holder of bonds, mortgages or other securities of the publishing corporation have been included in Paragraphs 7 and 8 when the interests of such individuals are equivalent to 1 percent or more of the total amount of or securities of the publishing corporation.

	(a)*	(b)†
10. A. Total No. Copies Printed (Net Press Run)	4361	4440
B. Paid Circulation		
1. To term subscribers by mail, carrier delivery or by other means	3585	3815
2. Sales through agents, news dealers or otherwise	-	-
C. Free Distribution (including samples), by mail, carrier delivery or by other means	54	80
D. Total No. of copies distributed	3639	3895

* Average no. of copies for each issue during preceding 12 months.

† Single issue nearest to filing date.

I certify that the statements made by me above are correct and complete. (Signed) Mary G. MacIsaac, Publisher.