

1923

Reviews and Criticisms

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/jclc>

 Part of the [Criminal Law Commons](#), [Criminology Commons](#), and the [Criminology and Criminal Justice Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Reviews and Criticisms, 13 J. Am. Inst. Crim. L. & Criminology 474 (May 1922 to February 1923)

This Book Review is brought to you for free and open access by Northwestern University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology by an authorized editor of Northwestern University School of Law Scholarly Commons.

REVIEWS AND CRITICISMS

THE PROBLEM OF PROOF ESPECIALLY AS EXEMPLIFIED IN DISPUTED DOCUMENT TRIALS. By *Albert S. Osborn*. Albany: Matthew Bender & Co., 1922. Pp. 526.

The subject of expert testimony in court trials has been the theme of much controversy. Opinions of all kinds of witnesses on all sorts of matters are given in evidence without exciting any remark, but when the subject matter as to which the opinion is offered is of such a nature that special study or experience is necessary to the formation of an opinion which therefore can only be given by an expert the valuation of such opinions is naturally a matter of great difficulty. The writer has long held the view that one of the main points of difficulty with regard to the presentation of expert testimony both of fact and opinion is the fact that too frequently the examiner is ignorant of the subject matter of the testimony and does not deem it necessary to inform himself upon it. He therefore is not prepared to do his part toward the effective presentation of the testimony in direct examination by a proper series of questions nor to test the testimony given by the witness by efficient cross-examination.

Some years ago in his book on "Questioned Documents" Mr. Osborn furnished a concise but at the same time comprehensive text book on the subject matter of his special field. In the work now under review he covers the whole field of the presentation of the evidence of expert witnesses though with especial reference, of course, to the field of disputed documents. Mr. Osborn's long experience as an expert witness has yielded a fund of observations on the methods of the presentation of evidence which is both acute and illuminating and if carefully read and digested cannot but prove of great value to every trial lawyer. The chapter on "Preparation on the Facts," is a simple and clear elucidation of proper methods of investigating and preparing the facts of a case for trial. Not less illuminating is the chapter on "Sifting the Evidence." The trial attorney learns by long experience the things pointed out in these chapters, but greater attention to them by all practitioners would greatly improve the standards of the trial of cases. Of especial value to lawyers are the chapters on cross-examination and advocacy. The fact that the author is not a lawyer lends a peculiar value both to his criticism and advice for his view-point is from outside the legal profession and at the same time his long, practical experience in trials renders it intelligent and appreciative of the elements involved.

Other chapters, such as that on "Obtaining Standards of Comparison" and that on "Photographs in Disputed Document Cases" relate more to the author's own specialty and he has recorded his reflections on more general phases of the subject in chapters such as that on "The Atmosphere of a Trial" and that on "The Designing and Lighting of Court Rooms."

It is not only to the lawyer but to the expert as well that the author offers his criticism and advice and the book will be of value to those who have occasion to act as witnesses on technical and scientific subjects. In the chapter on "Uninformed Expert Witnesses" is a direct and fearless discussion of the unqualified and the lying expert and the disreputable attorney who knowingly procures him to testify. "The uninformed and inexperienced are sometimes prevailed upon to appear as alleged expert witnesses without fully realizing what they are doing; the corrupt, who sometimes are technically qualified, are simply employed to defeat justice. It cannot be too plainly and bluntly said that there are these witnesses who for pay can thus be hired to lie in court and it cannot be too plainly and bluntly said that there are lawyers who deliberately hire and pay them to lie." The author discusses some of the methods suggested for the regulation of expert testimony such as limiting the compensation of experts, selection of expert witnesses by the court and providing a jury of experts. He does not find any of these proposals acceptable. "The restrictions in some of the proposed changes would make it difficult to get any self-respecting specialist to appear in court. . . . A court room should be made more attractive to honest and competent technical men who can assist in proving facts and, at the same time, should, if possible, be made a more uncomfortable place for the charlatan who is there to defeat justice. . . . The charlatan and his conscienceless employer, under most of these proposed changes, would no doubt continue to act while the work of the capable specialist, whose conscientious and skillful efforts are not differentiated from the work of the charlatan, would be diminished and weakened if not ended altogether." As to the official expert proposal he says: "Most thorough students of the conditions and subject agree that this official designation of the exclusive witness is dangerous and undesirable." All this seems to be plain common sense, but it needs to be said and cannot be too frequently reiterated, for, as the author states, "there has been much ill-considered discussion of these various phases of the subject." In his view "no doubt the most effective reform or improvement in the use of expert testimony will eventually come through the presiding judge. Like many other reforms, however, they must perhaps await that day when all judges are appointed and confirmed by two-thirds vote of senates or other reviewing bodies; when they are given back the power taken from them, and the judicial office is everywhere given the dignity and honor it deserves."

It is frequently argued that expert testimony is of little weight because it is often conflicting. This overlooks the plain fact that evidence of any sort in a trial is generally more or less in conflict; otherwise there would probably be no trial. As to this point the author well says: "The vital question with expert, as with other testimony, is not whether it has been conflicting, but whether it is true and convincing. Conflicts in testimony that cannot be reviewed in any way by the trier of the fact or the reviewer of the fact may, of course, nullify all the testimony and defeat the ends of justice, but the fallacy as suggested, is to assume that this is true of all conflicts. When con-

flict is specifically brought about for the sole purpose of making the argument that all testimony on the subject is therefore nullified and the judge or referee or reviewing court takes this same view, then perjury and stupidity has accomplished their intended purpose and have succeeded in defeating the ends of justice."

The reader may very likely not agree with all of Mr. Osborn's contentions and naturally not all of the discussions are of equal value, but it is safe to say that no trial attorney or prospective technical witness can read the book without being well repaid for the time employed and it is beyond doubt that the author has accomplished what he states to be the main purpose of the book—"to help the lawyer who has a case to try in which it becomes necessary to prove the facts relating to a disputed document."

Warren, Pa.

EDWARD LINDSEY.

THE PUBLIC CONSCIENCE. By *George Clarke Cox*. New York, 1922. Henry Holt & Co. Pp. vii + 477.

This book provides us, as Professor Cabot remarks in his introduction, with something new in ethics. It is an attempt to study moral conduct by the "case method," as this is employed in law and medicine. More strictly, the book presents an *opportunity* to study ethics by the case method. The book provides the cases. These are drawn entirely from the legal field. It is a record of offenses charged and legal decisions actually made. As such it reveals the "public conscience" as this has been expressed in public judgments.

Mr. Cox is strongly of the opinion that the study of ethics can only be advanced by the "descriptive method"; by a painstaking observation and tabulation and analysis of moral judgments as these are actually made by men. Legal decisions furnish us with a great mass of data to work upon. The present collection of cases had to do with such topics as murder, burglary, breaches of trust, carrying concealed weapons, Sunday laws, right of assembly trust regulation. These instances are selected at random. Mr. Cox presents his material to be sure, in a logical order, although the order has no special ethical significance.

The reviewer feels that any brief criticism of Mr. Cox's venture would be worse than useless. It seems that we are to repeat here, as in so many of the social sciences, the attempt to arrive at conclusions through the "purely descriptive" method of research. It is possible that this method has been over-played. No doubt many, however, will welcome what seems like a serious effort to bring ethics, still under bondage to philosophy, into the status of a really empirical "science." They will welcome Mr. Cox's contribution as a desirable addition, also, to the teacher's stock in trade. All who are of this faith will doubtless recognize, with Mr. Cox, that the legal material presented in this book has its limitations. Most obviously, perhaps, in the respect that the cases of conduct presented are elemental and show no subtlety in the moral situation; and, again, in the respect that we here consider only cases of reprehensible conduct and never instances of praiseworthy

conduct motivated by ideals. Mr. Cox's compilation of data, of course, can be added to by any observant teacher or student *ad libitum*. There is no reason, indeed, why an individual might not go on compiling cases forever.

Northwestern University.

D. T. HOWARD.

International Intermediary Institute

THE HAGUE

MANAGING COMMITTEE:

Jonkheer Dr. W. J. M. van Eysinga, Professor in Leyden University.
Dr. D. W. Baron van Heeckeren, Administrator at the Foreign Office.
Dr. J. Kosters, Judge in the Supreme Court of Judicature in the Netherlands.
Dr. C. Th. Krabbe, Secretary of the Governing Board.
Dr. B. C. J. Loder, Judge in the Supreme Court of Judicature of the Netherlands, Chairman of the Governing Board.
Miss Dr. Ch. A. van Mañen, Assistant Secretary.
Dr. P. C. Molhuysen, Director of the Royal Library, The Hague.
Mrs. Dr. P. C. Molhuysen.
Dr. D. W. K. De Roo de la Faille, Treasurer.
Dr. C. van Vollenhoven, Professor in Leyden University.

MANAGER:

Dr. C. L. Torley Duwel.

The object of the Institute is to supply information in the widest sense on all questions of international importance, not bearing a personal or secret character, either on international law, Dutch and foreign law and jurisprudence, or on economic and statistical data and commercial policy. Information is generally supplied free of charge, provided that payment may be asked for expenditure incurred in obtaining information in answer to a particular question.

Office: 6 Oranjestraat, The Hague.

All inquiries to be addressed to the manager.

Bulletin de l'Institut Intermédiaire International (published in French).

The Bulletin contains the most recent data on international law (judicial decisions, treaties, execution of peace treaties, etc.); also a survey of the most important inquiries addressed to the Institute and the informations given. In the year 1920 over 300 reports were furnished to scholars, magistrates, lawyers, trading companies, etc.

Subscription per volume f. 25 (twenty-five Dutch florins), for readers abroad f. 26. One or more quarterly publications form one volume, containing about 560 pages.


Correspondence relating to the Bulletin to be addressed to H. D. Tjeenk Willink and Son, Haarlem, to Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague, or to the Manager.

FOR THE FIRST TIME IN THE HISTORY OF THE NATIONAL REPORTER SYSTEM

There is a complete citation work for every one of the ten units of the National Reporter System.

Shepard's Reporter Citations are the most productive and economical law books published. They bring your Reporters, textbooks, encyclopedias, digests, etc., up to date, and keep them so.

THE LAST WORD IN LEGAL RESEARCH



2 Vols.

SHEPARD'S UNITED STATES CITATIONS and SHEPARD'S FEDERAL CITATIONS, two separate works, cover exhaustively the citation phases of the whole field of federal law—constitutional, statutory, departmental and case.

SHEPARD'S NEW YORK SUPPLEMENT CITATIONS covers New York Supplement, including N. Y. Court of Appeals Cases reported therein, and has a complete New York Constitutional and statutory section.

EVERY UNIT ABSOLUTELY UP TO DATE, AND KEPT SO BY QUARTERLY CUMULATIVE SUPPLEMENTS

USE THE COUPON

THE FRANK SHEPARD COMPANY,
 Bradstreet's Building,
 140-148 Lafayette Street,
 NEW YORK, N. Y.

Dear Sirs:

Please tell us why we need your citation books, even though we have good digests, annotated statutes, encyclopedias, etc. Our library includes.....

.....

Name.....

Address.....